

BIHARMONIC SURFACES OF $\mathbb{S}^4$

A. BALMUŞ AND C. ONICIUC

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove that a constant mean curvature surface is proper-biharmonic in the unit Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^4$ if and only if it is minimal in a hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^3(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Biharmonic maps $\varphi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds are critical points of the *bienergy functional*

$$E_2(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\varphi)|^2 v_g,$$

where $\tau(\varphi) = \text{trace } \nabla d\varphi$ is the tension field of φ that vanishes for harmonic maps (see [11]). The Euler-Lagrange equation corresponding to E_2 is given by the vanishing of the *bitension field*

$$\tau_2(\varphi) = -J^\varphi(\tau(\varphi)) = -\Delta\tau(\varphi) - \text{trace } R^N(d\varphi, \tau(\varphi))d\varphi,$$

where J^φ is formally the Jacobi operator of φ (see [15]). The operator J^φ is linear, thus any harmonic map is biharmonic. We call *proper-biharmonic* the non-harmonic biharmonic maps.

The study of *proper-biharmonic submanifolds*, i.e. submanifolds such that the inclusion map is non-harmonic (non-minimal) biharmonic, constitutes an important research direction in the theory of biharmonic maps. The first ambient spaces taken under consideration for their proper-biharmonic submanifolds were the spaces of constant sectional curvature. Non-existence results were obtained for proper-biharmonic submanifolds in Euclidean and hyperbolic spaces (see [2, 5, 8, 10, 13]).

The case of the Euclidean sphere is different. Indeed, the hypersphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ and the generalized Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{n_1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$, $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$, $n_1 \neq n_2$, are the main examples of proper-biharmonic submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^n$ (see [4, 15]). Moreover, the following

Conjecture 1.1 ([2]). *The only proper-biharmonic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^n$ are the open parts of hyperspheres $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ or of generalized Clifford tori $\mathbb{S}^{n_1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \mathbb{S}^{n_2}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$, $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$, $n_1 \neq n_2$.*

was proposed. This proved to be true for certain classes of hypersurfaces with additional geometric properties (see [2, 3]).

In codimension greater than 1, the family of proper-biharmonic submanifolds is rather large. For example, any minimal submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ is proper-biharmonic in $\mathbb{S}^n$. In particular, any minimal surface in $\mathbb{S}^3(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$, see [16], provides a proper-biharmonic surface in $\mathbb{S}^4$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58E20.

Key words and phrases. Biharmonic surfaces.

All proper-biharmonic submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathbb{S}^3$ were determined (see [6, 4]). The next step towards the classification of proper-biharmonic submanifolds in spheres is represented by the case of $\mathbb{S}^4$, and the first achievement was the proof of Conjecture 1.1 for compact hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^4$ (see [3]). Since all proper-biharmonic curves in $\mathbb{S}^n$, and therefore in $\mathbb{S}^4$, were determined (see [5]), the aim of this paper is to give a partial answer to the

Open problem ([3]). *Are there other proper-biharmonic surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^4$, apart from the minimal surfaces of $\mathbb{S}^3(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$?*

We show that the answer is negative in the case of proper-biharmonic surfaces with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{S}^4$ (Theorem 3.1).

For other results on proper-biharmonic submanifolds in spaces of non-constant sectional curvature see, for example, [12, 14, 17, 19].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ be the canonical inclusion of a submanifold M in the n -dimensional unit Euclidean sphere. The expressions assumed by the tension and bitension fields are

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(\varphi) = mH, \quad \tau_2(\varphi) = -m(\Delta H - mH),$$

where H denotes the mean curvature vector field of M in $\mathbb{S}^n$, while Δ is the rough Laplacian on $\varphi^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^n$.

The following characterization result proved to be the main ingredient in the study of proper-biharmonic submanifolds in spheres.

Theorem 2.1 ([7, 18]). *The canonical inclusion $\varphi : M^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ of a submanifold M in the n -dimensional unit Euclidean sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ is biharmonic if and only if*

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta^\perp H + \text{trace } B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) - mH = 0, \\ 4 \text{trace } A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^\perp H}(\cdot) + m \text{grad}(|H|^2) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where A denotes the Weingarten operator, B the second fundamental form, H the mean curvature vector field, $\nabla^\perp$ and $\Delta^\perp$ the connection and the Laplacian in the normal bundle of M in $\mathbb{S}^n$.

Using the main examples, two methods of construction for proper-biharmonic submanifolds in spheres were given.

Theorem 2.2 (Composition property, [5]). *Let M be a minimal submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(a) \subset \mathbb{S}^n$. Then M is proper-biharmonic in $\mathbb{S}^n$ if and only if $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.*

We note that such submanifolds are pseudo-umbilical, i.e. $A_H = |H|^2 \text{Id}$, have parallel mean curvature vector field and mean curvature $|H| = 1$.

Theorem 2.3 (Product composition property, [5]). *Let $M_1^{m_1}$ and $M_2^{m_2}$ be two minimal submanifolds of $\mathbb{S}^{n_1}(r_1)$ and $\mathbb{S}^{n_2}(r_2)$, respectively, where $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$, $r_1^2 + r_2^2 = 1$. Then $M_1 \times M_2$ is proper-biharmonic in $\mathbb{S}^n$ if and only if $r_1 = r_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $m_1 \neq m_2$.*

The proper-biharmonic submanifolds obtained in this way are no longer pseudo-umbilical, but still have parallel mean curvature vector field and their mean curvature is bounded, $|H| \in (0, 1)$. For dimension reasons, this second method cannot be applied in order to produce proper-biharmonic surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^4$.

In [1, 20] the authors obtained explicit examples of proper-biharmonic submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^5$ with constant mean curvature, which are neither pseudo-umbilical nor of parallel mean curvature vector field.

We note that all known examples of proper-biharmonic submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^n$ have constant mean curvature.

We end by recalling here the following results which are needed in the next section.

Theorem 2.4 ([2]). *Let M^m be a pseudo-umbilical submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^{m+2}$, $m \neq 4$. Then M is proper-biharmonic in $\mathbb{S}^{m+2}$ if and only if it is minimal in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$.*

Theorem 2.5 ([2]). *Let M^2 be a surface with parallel mean curvature vector field in $\mathbb{S}^n$. Then M is proper-biharmonic in $\mathbb{S}^n$ if and only if it is minimal in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$.*

3. BIHARMONIC SURFACES WITH CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE IN $\mathbb{S}^4$

We shall prove the following

Theorem 3.1. *Let M^2 be a proper-biharmonic constant mean curvature surface in $\mathbb{S}^4$. Then M^2 is minimal in $\mathbb{S}^3(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$.*

Proof. Following [9], we shall first prove that any proper-biharmonic constant mean curvature surface in $\mathbb{S}^4$ has parallel mean curvature vector field. Then we shall conclude by using Theorem 2.5.

Denote by H the mean curvature vector field of M^2 in $\mathbb{S}^4$. Since M is proper-biharmonic with constant mean curvature, its mean curvature does not vanish at any point and we denote by

$$(3.1) \quad E_3 = \frac{H}{|H|} \in C(NM).$$

Consider $\{E_1, E_2\}$ to be a local orthonormal frame field on M around an arbitrary fixed point $p \in M$ and let E_4 be a local unit section in the normal bundle, orthogonal to E_3 . We can assume that $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$ is the restriction of a local orthonormal frame field around p on $\mathbb{S}^4$, also denoted by $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$.

Denote by B the second fundamental form of M in $\mathbb{S}^4$ and by A_3 and A_4 the Weingarten operators associated to E_3 and E_4 , respectively.

Let $\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^4}$ and ∇ be the Levi-Civita connections on $\mathbb{S}^4$ and on M , respectively, and denote by ω_A^B the connection 1-forms of $\mathbb{S}^4$ with respect to $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$, i.e.

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla^{\mathbb{S}^4} E_A = \omega_A^B E_B, \quad A, B = 1, \dots, 4.$$

From (3.1) we have $H = |H|E_3$ and, since $2H = B(E_1, E_1) + B(E_2, E_2)$, we obtain that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= 2\langle H, E_4 \rangle = \langle B(E_1, E_1), E_4 \rangle + \langle B(E_2, E_2), E_4 \rangle \\ &= \langle A_4(E_1), E_1 \rangle + \langle A_4(E_2), E_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\text{trace } A_4 = 0$. As a consequence, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |A_4|^2 &= |A_4(E_1)|^2 + |A_4(E_2)|^2 \\ &= \langle A_4(E_1), E_1 \rangle^2 + 2\langle A_4(E_1), E_2 \rangle^2 + \langle A_4(E_2), E_2 \rangle^2 \\ &= 2(\langle A_4(E_1), E_1 \rangle^2 + \langle A_4(E_1), E_2 \rangle^2). \end{aligned}$$

The tangent part of the biharmonic equation (2.2) now writes

$$(3.5) \quad A_{\nabla_{E_1}^\perp E_3}(E_1) + A_{\nabla_{E_2}^\perp E_3}(E_2) = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{E_1}^\perp E_3 &= \langle \nabla_{E_1}^\perp E_3, E_3 \rangle E_3 + \langle \nabla_{E_1}^\perp E_3, E_4 \rangle E_4 = \langle \nabla_{E_1}^{\mathbb{S}^4} E_3, E_4 \rangle E_4 \\ &= \omega_3^4(E_1) E_4,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nabla_{E_2}^\perp E_3 = \omega_3^4(E_2) E_4,$$

from (3.5) we get

$$(3.6) \quad \omega_3^4(E_1) A_4(E_1) + \omega_3^4(E_2) A_4(E_2) = 0.$$

Considering now the scalar product by E_1 and E_2 in (3.6), we obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} \langle A_4(E_1), E_1 \rangle \omega_3^4(E_1) + \langle A_4(E_2), E_1 \rangle \omega_3^4(E_2) = 0, \\ \langle A_4(E_1), E_2 \rangle \omega_3^4(E_1) + \langle A_4(E_2), E_2 \rangle \omega_3^4(E_2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Equations (3.7) can be thought of as a linear homogeneous system in $\omega_3^4(E_1)$ and $\omega_3^4(E_2)$. By using (3.3) and (3.4), the determinant of this system is equal to $-\frac{1}{2}|A_4|^2$.

Suppose now that $(\nabla^\perp H)(p) \neq 0$. Then there exists a neighborhood U of p in M such that $\nabla^\perp H \neq 0$, at any point of U . Since

$$\nabla^\perp H = |H| \nabla^\perp E_3 = |H| \{ \omega_3^4(E_1) E_1^\flat \otimes E_4 + \omega_3^4(E_2) E_2^\flat \otimes E_4 \},$$

the hypothesis $\nabla^\perp H \neq 0$ on U implies that (3.7) admits non-trivial solutions at any point of U . Therefore, the determinant of (3.7) is zero, which means that $|A_4|^2 = 0$, i.e. $A_4 = 0$ on U .

We have two cases.

Case I. If U is pseudo-umbilical in $\mathbb{S}^4$, i.e. $A_3 = |H| \text{Id}$, from Theorem 2.4 we get that U is minimal in $\mathbb{S}^3(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ and we have a contradiction, since any minimal surface in $\mathbb{S}^3(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ has parallel mean curvature vector field in $\mathbb{S}^4$.

Case II. Suppose that there exists $q \in U$ such that $A_3(q) \neq |H| \text{Id}$. Then, eventually by restricting U , we can suppose that $A_3 \neq |H| \text{Id}$ on U . Since the principal curvatures of A_3 have constant multiplicity 1, we can suppose that E_1 and E_2 are such that

$$A_3(E_1) = k_1 E_1, \quad A_3(E_2) = k_2 E_2,$$

where $k_1 \neq k_2$ at any point of U . As $A_4 = 0$, we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad B(E_1, E_1) = k_1 E_3, \quad B(E_1, E_2) = 0, \quad B(E_2, E_2) = k_2 E_3,$$

on U .

In the following we shall use the Codazzi and Gauss equations in order to get to a contradiction.

The Codazzi equation is given in this setting by

$$(3.9) \quad 0 = (\nabla_X^{\mathbb{S}^4} B)(Y, Z, \eta) - (\nabla_Y^{\mathbb{S}^4} B)(X, Z, \eta), \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in C(TM), \forall \eta \in C(NM),$$

where $\nabla_X^{\mathbb{S}^4} B$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X^{\mathbb{S}^4} B)(Y, Z, \eta) &= X \langle B(Y, Z), \eta \rangle - \langle B(\nabla_X Y, Z), \eta \rangle - \langle B(Y, \nabla_X Z), \eta \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle B(Y, Z), \nabla_X^\perp \eta \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

For $X = Z = E_1$, $Y = E_2$ and $\eta = E_3$, equation (3.9) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= E_1 \langle B(E_2, E_1), E_3 \rangle - E_2 \langle B(E_1, E_1), E_3 \rangle \\
 &\quad - \langle B(\nabla_{E_1} E_2, E_1), E_3 \rangle + \langle B(\nabla_{E_2} E_1, E_1), E_3 \rangle \\
 &\quad - \langle B(E_2, \nabla_{E_1} E_1), E_3 \rangle + \langle B(E_1, \nabla_{E_2} E_1), E_3 \rangle \\
 (3.10) \quad &\quad - \langle B(E_2, E_1), \nabla_{E_1}^\perp E_3 \rangle + \langle B(E_1, E_1), \nabla_{E_2}^\perp E_3 \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (3.8) we have

$$B(\nabla_{E_1} E_2, E_1) = k_1 \omega_2^1(E_1) E_3, \quad B(E_2, \nabla_{E_1} E_1) = -k_2 \omega_2^1(E_1) E_3,$$

$$B(\nabla_{E_2} E_1, E_1) = 0, \quad \langle B(E_1, E_1), \nabla_{E_2}^\perp E_3 \rangle = 0,$$

thus (3.10) implies

$$(3.11) \quad E_2(k_1) = (k_2 - k_1) \omega_2^1(E_1).$$

Analogously, for $X = Z = E_2$, $Y = E_1$ and $\eta = E_3$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad E_1(k_2) = (k_2 - k_1) \omega_2^1(E_2).$$

For $X = Z = E_1$, $Y = E_2$ and $\eta = E_4$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \langle B(E_2, E_1), \nabla_{E_1}^\perp E_4 \rangle - \langle B(E_1, E_1), \nabla_{E_2}^\perp E_4 \rangle \\
 &= -k_1 \langle E_3, \nabla_{E_2}^\perp E_4 \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(3.13) \quad k_1 \omega_3^4(E_2) = 0.$$

Analogously, for $X = Z = E_2$, $Y = E_1$ and $\eta = E_4$ in (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad k_2 \omega_3^4(E_1) = 0.$$

Since $\nabla^\perp H \neq 0$ on U , we can suppose that $\omega_3^4(E_1) \neq 0$ on U . This, together with (3.14), leads to $k_2 = 0$. From here we get $|k_1| = 2|H| \neq 0$, and consequently k_1 is a non-zero constant. As $k_1 \neq k_2$, from (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \omega_2^1(E_1) = \omega_2^1(E_2) = 0,$$

thus M is flat.

Consider now the Gauss equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle R^{\mathbb{S}^4}(X, Y)Z, W \rangle &= \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle \\
 (3.16) \quad &\quad + \langle B(X, Z), B(Y, W) \rangle - \langle B(X, W), B(Y, Z) \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

As M is flat, for $X = W = E_1$ and $Y = Z = E_2$, equations (3.16) and (3.8) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= \langle B(E_1, E_2), B(E_2, E_1) \rangle - \langle B(E_1, E_1), B(E_2, E_2) \rangle = -k_1 k_2 \\
 (3.17) \quad &= 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and we have a contradiction.

Therefore, $\nabla^\perp H = 0$ and we conclude. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Arslan, R. Ezentas, C. Murathan, T. Sasahara. Biharmonic anti-invariant submanifolds in Sasakian space forms. *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* 48 (2007), 191–207.
- [2] A. Balmuş, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc. Classification results for biharmonic submanifolds in spheres. *Israel J. Math.* 168 (2008), 201–220.
- [3] A. Balmuş, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc. Biharmonic hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional space forms. *Math. Nachr.*, to appear.
- [4] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc. Biharmonic submanifolds of S^3 . *Int. J. Math.* 12 (2001), 867–876.
- [5] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc. Biharmonic submanifolds in spheres. *Israel J. Math.* 130 (2002), 109–123.
- [6] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, P. Piu. Biharmonic curves on a surface. *Rend. Mat. Appl.* 21 (2001), 143–157.
- [7] B-Y. Chen. *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*. Series in Pure Mathematics, 1. World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984.
- [8] B-Y. Chen. A report on submanifolds of finite type. *Soochow J. Math.* 22 (1996), 117–337.
- [9] B-Y. Chen, S. Ishikawa. Biharmonic surfaces in pseudo-Euclidean spaces. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A* 45 (1991), 323–347.
- [10] I. Dimitric. Submanifolds of E^m with harmonic mean curvature vector. *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* 20 (1992), 53–65.
- [11] J. Eells, J.H. Sampson. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.* 86 (1964), 109–160.
- [12] D. Fetcu, E. Loubeau, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc. Biharmonic submanifolds of CP^n . preprint, [arXiv:0902.0268](https://arxiv.org/abs/0902.0268).
- [13] T. Hasanis, T. Vlachos. Hypersurfaces in E^4 with harmonic mean curvature vector field. *Math. Nachr.* 172 (1995), 145–169.
- [14] T. Ichiyama, J. Inoguchi, H. Urakawa. Bi-harmonic maps and bi-Yang-Mills fields. *Note Mat.*, to appear.
- [15] G.Y. Jiang. 2-harmonic isometric immersions between Riemannian manifolds. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* 7 (1986), 130–144.
- [16] H.B. Lawson. Complete minimal surfaces in S^3 . *Ann. of Math.* 92 (1970), 335–374.
- [17] S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc. A short survey on biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina* 47 (2006), 1–22.
- [18] C. Oniciuc. Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. *An. Stiint. Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iasi Mat. (N.S.)* 48 (2002), 237–248.
- [19] Y-L. Ou. On biharmonic hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds. preprint, [arXiv:0901.1507](https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.1507).
- [20] T. Sasahara. Legendre surfaces in Sasakian space forms whose mean curvature vectors are eigenvectors. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 67 (2005), 285–303.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, “AL.I. CUZA” UNIVERSITY OF IASI,
 BD. CAROL I NR. 11, 700506 IASI, ROMANIA
E-mail address: adina.balmus@uaic.ro, oniciucc@uaic.ro